

# Summary of Important Definitions

May 11th

## 1 Lattice Theory

**Definition 1.1.** A partial order  $\preceq$  is a relation over a set  $S$  such that for every triple of elements  $x, y, z \in S$  the following hold

- (reflexivity)  $x \preceq x$
- (antisymmetry)  $(x \preceq y \wedge y \preceq x) \Rightarrow x = y$
- (transitivity)  $(x \preceq y \wedge y \preceq z) \Rightarrow (x \preceq z)$

**Definition 1.2.** Given a partial order  $\preceq$  over a set  $S$  and a subset  $X \subseteq S$ , a lower bound of  $X$  (resp. an upper bound of  $X$ ) is an element  $x \in S$  (Note that it may be the case that  $x \notin X$ ) such that

- $\forall y \in X, \boxed{x} \preceq y$
- (resp.  $\forall y \in X, \boxed{y} \preceq x$ )

The greatest lower bound of a set  $X \subseteq S$  (denoted as  $\mathbf{glb}(X)$ ) is a unique upperbound of the set of all lowerbounds of  $X$ . The least upper bound of a set  $X \subseteq S$  (denoted as  $\mathbf{lub}(X)$ ) is a unique lowerbound of the set of all upperbounds of  $X$ . In general,  $\mathbf{lub}(X)$  and  $\mathbf{glb}(X)$  may not exist.

**Definition 1.3.** A (complete) lattice  $\langle \mathcal{L}, \preceq \rangle$  is a set of elements  $\mathcal{L}$  and a partial order  $\preceq$  over  $\mathcal{L}$  such that for any set  $S \subseteq \mathcal{L}$

- $\mathbf{lub}(X)$  and  $\mathbf{glb}(X)$  exist and are unique.

### 1.1 Fixpoint Operators

**Definition 1.4.** Given a complete lattice  $\langle \mathcal{L}, \preceq \rangle$ , a fixpoint operator over the lattice is a function  $\Phi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  that is  $\preceq$ -monotone

- $\Phi$  is  $\preceq$ -monotone if for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{L}$

$$x \preceq y \Rightarrow \Phi(x) \preceq \Phi(y)$$

(Note: this does not mean the function is inflationary, i.e.,  $x \preceq \Phi(x)$  may not hold)

A fixpoint is an element  $x \in \mathcal{L}$  s.t.  $\Phi(x) = x$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (Knaster-Tarski). *The set of all fixpoints of a fixpoint operator  $\Phi$  on a complete lattice is itself a complete lattice. The least element of this new lattice exists and is called the least fixpoint (denoted as  $\mathbf{lfp} \Phi$ )*

Some intuitions about lattices

- The entire lattice has a biggest element  $\mathbf{lub}(\mathcal{L}) = \top$  and a smallest element  $\mathbf{glb}(\mathcal{L}) = \perp$
- When a lattice has a finite height (or finite domain). The least fixed point of a fixpoint operator can be computed by iteratively applying the fixpoint operator to  $\perp$
- An operator may return an element that is not comparable to the input, however, after a comparable element is returned (either greater or less than) that comparability and direction are maintained for all subsequent iterations.
- Further, because  $\perp$  is less than all elements in the lattice, it is always the case that  $\perp \preceq \Phi(\perp)$

## 2 Partial Stable Model Semantics

**Definition 2.1.** *A (ground and normal) answer set program  $\mathcal{P}$  is a set of rules where each rule  $r$  is of the form*

$$h \leftarrow a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \mathbf{not} b_0, \mathbf{not} b_1, \dots, \mathbf{not} b_k$$

where we define the following shorthand for a rule  $r \in \mathcal{P}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{head}(r) &= h \\ \text{body}^+(r) &= \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n\} \\ \text{body}^-(r) &= \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k\} \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.2.** *A two-valued interpretation  $I$  of a program  $\mathcal{P}$  is a set of atoms that appear in  $\mathcal{P}$ .*

**Definition 2.3.** *An interpretation  $I$  is a model of a program  $\mathcal{P}$  if for each rule  $r \in \mathcal{P}$*

- *If  $\text{body}^+(r) \subseteq I$  and  $\text{body}^-(r) \cap I = \emptyset$  then  $\text{head}(r) \in I$ .*

**Definition 2.4.** *An interpretation  $I$  is a stable model of a program  $\mathcal{P}$  if  $I$  is a model of  $\mathcal{P}$  **and** for every interpretation  $I' \subseteq I$  there exists a rule  $r \in \mathcal{P}$  such that*

- *$\text{body}^+(r) \subseteq I'$ ,  $\text{body}^-(r) \cap I \neq \emptyset$  (Note that this is  $I$  and not  $I'$ ) and  $\text{head}(r) \notin I'$*

**Definition 2.5.** A three-valued interpretation  $(T, P)$  of a program  $\mathcal{P}$  is a pair of sets of atoms such that  $T \subseteq P$ . The  $\boxed{\text{truth-ordering}}$  respects  $\mathbf{f} < \mathbf{u} < \mathbf{t}$  and is defined for two three-valued interpretations  $(T, P)$  and  $(X, Y)$  as follows.

$$(T, P) \preceq_t (X, Y) \text{ iff } T \subseteq X \wedge P \subseteq Y$$

The  $\boxed{\text{precision-ordering}}$  respects the partial order  $\mathbf{u} < \mathbf{t}$ ,  $\mathbf{u} < \mathbf{f}$  and is defined for two three-valued interpretations  $(T, P)$  and  $(X, Y)$  as follows.

$$(T, P) \preceq_p (X, Y) \text{ iff } T \subseteq X \wedge \boxed{Y \subseteq P}$$

**Definition 2.6.** A three-valued interpretation  $(T, P)$  is a model of a program  $\mathcal{P}$  if for each rule  $r \in \mathcal{P}$

- $\text{body}(r) \subseteq P \wedge \text{body}^-(r) \cap T = \emptyset$  implies  $\text{head}(r) \in P$ , and
- $\text{body}(r) \subseteq T \wedge \text{body}^-(r) \cap P = \emptyset$  implies  $\text{head}(r) \in T$ .

**Definition 2.7.** A three-valued interpretation  $(T, P)$  is a stable model of a program  $\mathcal{P}$  if it is a model of  $\mathcal{P}$  and if for every three-valued interpretation  $(X, Y)$  such that  $(X, Y) \preceq_t (T, P)$  there exists a rule  $r \in \mathcal{P}$  such that either

- $\text{body}^+(r) \subseteq Y \wedge \text{body}^-(r) \cap T = \emptyset$  and  $\text{head}(r) \notin Y$  **OR**
- $\text{body}^+(r) \subseteq X \wedge \text{body}^-(r) \cap P = \emptyset$  and  $\text{head}(r) \notin X$

### 3 Approximation Fixpoint Theory

We can think of a three-valued interpretation  $(T, P)$  as an approximation on the set of true atoms.  $T$  is a lower bound and  $P$  is the upper bound.

**Definition 3.1.** An approximator is a fixpoint operator on the complete lattice  $\langle \wp(\mathcal{L})^2, \preceq_p \rangle$  (called a bilattice)

Given a function  $f(T, P) : S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ , we define two separate functions

$$\begin{aligned} f(\cdot, P)_1 : S &\rightarrow S \\ f(T, \cdot)_2 : S &\rightarrow S \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$f(T, P) = \left( (f(\cdot, P)_1)(T), \right. \\ \left. (f(T, \cdot)_2)(P) \right)$$

**Definition 3.2.** Given an approximator  $\Phi(T, P)$  the stable revision operator is defined as follows

$$S(T, P) = (\mathbf{lfp}(\Phi(\cdot, P)_1), \mathbf{lfp}(\Phi(T, \cdot)_2))$$

Note: the  $\mathbf{lfp}$  is applied to a unary operator, thus it's the least fixpoint of the lattice  $\langle \wp(\mathcal{L}), \subseteq \rangle$  whose least element is  $\emptyset$ .

## 4 The Polynomial Hierarchy

Intuitive definitions of NP

- the class of problems which have algorithms that can verify solutions in polynomial time.
- A problem that can be solved by reducing it to a SAT expression of the form

$$\exists(a \vee b \vee c) \wedge (\neg a \vee \neg d \vee c) \wedge \dots$$

- (Alternating Turing machine) A problem that is solved by some path of an algorithm that is allowed to branch in parallel

Intuitive definitions of  $\text{NP}^{\text{NP}}$  a.k.a.  $\Sigma_2^P$

- the class of problems which have algorithms that can verify solutions in NP time.
- A problem that can be solved by reducing it to a SAT expression of the form

$$\exists c, \forall a, b, (a \vee b \vee c) \wedge (\neg a \vee \neg d \vee c) \wedge \dots$$

- (Alternating Turing machine) A problem that is solved by some path of an algorithm that is allowed to branch in parallel. A branch is allowed to switch to “ALL” mode only once and require that all subsequent forks return success